## REMARKS

Applicant is filing a §371 U.S. National Phase utility patent application based upon International Application PCT/DE03/00195, filed 24 January 2003. Applicant encloses the English translated specification since the priority application and International application were both filed in German. Applicant sets forth that nothing that could be construed as new subject matter was added to the application in preparing the English translation specification. In support of such, Applicant encloses a "Declaration" wherein the translator sets forth that to the best of his knowledge, the English translated specification is a true and complete translation of International Application No. PCT/DE03/00195.

Applicant makes certain amendments to the English translated specification by way of enclosing an entire substitute specification to have this §371 U.S. National Phase application comply with USPTO rules, practice and procedure. In particular, Applicant has inserted the following headings: Prior Applications (with language identifying the two priority applications - a first filed German application and a subsequently filed PCT Application), Background of the Invention, 1. Field of the Invention and 2. Description of the Prior Art on page 1 of the substitute specification, Summary of the Invention on page 2 of the substitute specification, Brief

Description of the Drawings on page 4 of the substitute specification, and Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment on page 5 of the substitute specification.

Applicant has further added "preamble" language directly underneath the **Drawings** heading on page 4 of the substitute specification and also underneath the **Claims** heading found on page 7 of the substitute specification.

Applicant still further makes amendments to the English translated specification for correction of grammatical and typographical errors. In particular, Applicant replaces the incorrect reference to "computanionally" found on page 1 of the English translated specification with "computationally."

Further, Applicant replaces the incorrect reference to "tinting" found on page 3 of the English translated specification with "tilting."

Applicant respectfully points out that <u>none</u> of the aforementioned amendments made herein by way of submission of the substitute specification could be construed as the introduction of any new subject matter.

Applicant has canceled claims 1-3 of the International Application from the English translated specification and has inserted new Claims 4-6 in the substitute specification. This was done to place the claims in a format more consistent with USPTO practice.

Applicant wishes to point out that the aforementioned amendments were done to better encompass the full scope and breadth of the invention under USPTO rules, practice and procedure. Notwithstanding, Applicant believes that the claims of the International Application would have been allowable if not canceled in this Preliminary Amendment.

Respectfully Submitted,

LARSON & LARSON, PA.

Herbert W. Larson

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 21,008